

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/840,180	04/24/2001	Hiroyuki Miyake	206347US3	2638	
22850 75	590 05/25/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPI		MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	ENG, GEORGE		
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2643		

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/840,180	MIYAKE, HIROYUKI
Examiner	Art Unit
George Eng	2643

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address.	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abat this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evider places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 Cl (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within a following time periods:	nce, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expiresinditis from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exterbeen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two month of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a) AMENDMENTS	the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered be	000100
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment the non-allowable claim(s).	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an e how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	•
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-8</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected. <u>2-0.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attach	,
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ieu.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowar See attachment.	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	P
. George Eng Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2643	

Art Unit: 2643

Response to Arguments

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that Suzuki fails to teach that a substantial portion of a surface area of the solid state image pickup element is held in direct contact with a main surface of the print circuit board, it is noted that Suzuki clearly discloses an image pickup element including a substantial portion of surface (41-42, figure 14f) being directly contact to the main surface of the board (18, figure 14f). Note the claimed does not specify what are the substantial portion and the shape of the main surface of a board. Thus, one skill in the art would recognize the wiring part (41, figure 14f) and conductor part (42, figure 14f) of the image pickup element as the substantial portion of the surface of the image pickup element, which is directly contact to the main surface of the board (18, figure 14f). As a result, Suzuki is enough to reject the broad claimed language. In addition, it is old and notoriously well known in the art of assembling electronic components on the print circuit board in a known manner-surface mounted. Therefore, the rejection under the combination of AAPA, Sekikawa and Suzuki is maintained.